

ON ε –PREOPEN SETS

Dr reema Bahan*Dr Ravindra Nath Pandey**

*Lecturer government women,s polytechnic,Patna

**Ex Prof. Department of Mathematics,Patna University

Abstract. In general topology, the word "preopen" plays a major role and hence, the concept of the "preopen compactness" has been developed. In this paper, the focal point is to establish few theorems based on ε -preopen compactness. There are also numerous associations with other forms of compactness. Furthermore, new axioms for separation are described.

Keywords : ε – preopen set, ε – preopen compact- ness.

Introduction

Throughout the article, (\mathcal{S}, φ) represents a non-empty topological space in this text Space on which, unless otherwise stated, no separation axioms are presumed. The closure and interior of $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{S}$ are denoted by $Cl(\mathcal{M})$ and $Int(\mathcal{M})$ respectively. Monsef has presented essential concepts of pretopological concepts [16], while preopen concepts of theory can be examined in Andrijevic's concepts [17]. The concept of preopen sets was introduced and investigated by Mashhour et al. [15]. (\mathcal{S}, φ) is called s –closed, if every semi-open cover has a finite subfamily the semi-closures of whose members cover \mathcal{S} . A subset \mathcal{M} of topological space (\mathcal{S}, φ) is said to be preopen [15] if $\mathcal{M} \subset Int(Cl(\mathcal{M}))$ holds. We denote by $PO(\mathcal{S}, \varphi)$ (sometimes, $PO(X)$) the set of all preopen sets in (\mathcal{S}, φ) [16]. The complement of a preopen set is called preclosed. The intersection of all preclosed sets of (\mathcal{S}, φ) containing a subset \mathcal{M} is called the preclosure of \mathcal{M} and is denoted by $pCl(\mathcal{M})$ [5]. The union of all preopen sets contained in a subset \mathcal{M} is called the preinterior of \mathcal{M} and is denoted by $pInt(\mathcal{M})$. The set $pCl(\mathcal{M})$ is preclosed and $pInt(\mathcal{M})$ is preopen in (\mathcal{S}, φ) for any subset \mathcal{M} of (\mathcal{S}, φ) , because an arbitrary union of preopen sets of (\mathcal{S}, φ) is preopen [1]. It is well known that [2, Theorem 1.5 (e)(f)] $pCl(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M} \cup Cl(Int(\mathcal{M}))$ and $pInt(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M} \cap Int(Cl(\mathcal{M}))$ hold for any subset \mathcal{M} of (\mathcal{S}, φ) . We

note that $\tau \subset PO(\mathcal{S}, \tau)$ for any topological space (\mathcal{S}, φ) and $PO(\mathcal{S}, \tau)$ is not a topology on \mathcal{S} in general. Also, A function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be completely **irresolute** [10] if $f^{-1}(V)$ is regular open in (\mathcal{S}, τ) for every semi-open set V in Y .

Preliminaries and Main Results

Definition 1. A space (\mathcal{S}, φ) is ε -po-compact if every ε -po-cover (a cover consisting of ε -po-sets) of \mathcal{S} has a finite subcover.

Equivalently, (\mathcal{S}, φ) is ε -po-compact if every ε -po-cover of \mathcal{S} has a finite subcover. A submaximal space is an example of a ε -po-compact space. The proof of the following result follows from the fact that every open set is a ε -po set.

Theorem 1. If (\mathcal{S}, φ) is a ε -po-compact space, then it is s -closed.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M} = \{\mathcal{M}_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a semi-open cover of \mathcal{S} . Then \mathcal{M} is a ε -po-cover of \mathcal{S} . Since \mathcal{S} is ε -po-compact, it has a finite subcover such that

$$\mathcal{S} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}_{\alpha_i}. \text{ But } \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}_{\alpha_i} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n scl(\mathcal{M}_{\alpha_i}), \text{ so } \mathcal{S} \text{ is } s\text{-closed.}$$

Since an s -closed space is S -closed, a ε -po-compact space is s -closed.

Theorem 2. If a map $f : (\mathcal{S}, \varphi) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is ε -po-irresolute (resp., ε -po-continuous) surjective and K is ε -po-compact subset of \mathcal{S} , then $f(K)$ is ε -po-compact (resp, QHC) in Y .

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M} = \{\mathcal{M}_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be any ε -po- (open) cover of $f(K)$. Since f is ε -po-irresolute (resp, ε -po-continuous), $\mathcal{M}^* = \{f^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is ε -po-cover of K where K is ε -po-compact. Thus it has a finite subcover. That is $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_{\alpha_i})$. Since, f is ε -po-irresolute (ε -po-continuous) and surjective, we have $f(K) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n f(f^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_{\alpha_i})) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}_{\alpha_i}$ (resp., $f(K) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}_{\alpha_i} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n cl(\mathcal{M}_{\alpha_i})$). Thus $f(K)$ is ε -po-compact (resp., QHC) in Y .

Definition 2. A space (\mathcal{S}, φ) is strongly s -compact if for every ε -po-cover $\mathcal{M} = \{\mathcal{M}_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$, there exist $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha_1}, \mathcal{M}_{\alpha_2}, \dots, \mathcal{M}_{\alpha_n} \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n cl(\mathcal{M}_{\alpha_i})$.

If (\mathcal{S}, φ) is ε -po-compact, then clearly it is strongly ε -po-compact, since $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha_i} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n cl(\mathcal{M}_{\alpha_i})$ for every $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha_i} \subseteq \mathcal{S}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Definition 3. A space (\mathcal{S}, φ) is strongly O - ε -po-regular if \mathcal{S} has a ε -po-cover $\mathcal{M} = \{\mathcal{M}_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{S}$, and for all $\mathcal{M}_\alpha(x) \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $x \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha(x)$, there is an existence of $\wp_x \in \varepsilon\text{-po}(\mathcal{S})$ such that $x \in \wp_x \subseteq cl(\wp_x) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_\alpha(x)$.

Theorem 3. If (\mathcal{S}, φ) is strongly ε -po-compact and strongly O - ε -po-regular, then it is ε -po-compact.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M} = \{\mathcal{M}_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a ε -po-cover of \mathcal{S} . Then since \mathcal{S} is strongly O - ε -po-regular, for all $x \in \mathcal{S}$, there is an existence of $\mathcal{M}_\alpha(x) \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $x \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha(x)$ and there is an existence of $\wp_x \in \varepsilon\text{-po}(\mathcal{S})$ with $x \in \wp_x \subseteq cl(\wp_x) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_\alpha(x)$. Thus $\{\wp_x : x \in \mathcal{S}\}$ is a ε -po-cover of \mathcal{S} . Since \mathcal{S} is strongly ε -po-compact, there exist $\wp_{x_1}, \wp_{x_2}, \dots, \wp_{x_n}$ such that $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n cl(\wp_{x_i}) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\alpha_i}(x)$. Therefore \mathcal{S} is ε -po-compact.

Corollary 1. If (\mathcal{S}, φ) is strongly O - ε -po-regular, then it is strongly ε -po-compact if and only if it is ε -po-compact.

Definition 4. A space (\mathcal{S}, φ) is ε -po-regular if for every ε -po-cover $\mathcal{M} = \{\mathcal{M}_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of \mathcal{S} and for every $x \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha(x) \in \mathcal{M}$, there is an existence of a preopen set \wp_α such that $x \in \wp_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{M}_\alpha$.

Theorem 5. If (\mathcal{S}, τ) is a ε -po-regular and a strongly compact space, then it is ε -po-compact.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M} = \{\mathcal{M}_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a ε -po-cover of \mathcal{S} . Then since \mathcal{S} is ε -po-regular, for all $x \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha(x)$ there is an existence of $\wp \in \text{po}(\mathcal{S})$ such that $x \in \wp_\alpha \subseteq$

\mathcal{M}_α for all $\alpha \in \Delta$ and so $\{\wp_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a preopen cover of \mathcal{S} . Since \mathcal{S} is strongly compact, then $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \wp_{\alpha_i} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}_{\alpha_i}$. Therefore \mathcal{S} is ε -po-compact.

The converse of the preceding Theorem need not be true since a pre-open set need not be a ε -po-set. Moreover, it is clear that the notions of ε -po-regular and ε -po-irresolvable spaces are independent. The proof of the following result follows immediately from Theorem 8 and Theorem 9.

Corollary 2. If a space (\mathcal{S}, φ) is ε -po-regular and ε -po-irresolvable, then it is ε -po-compact if and only if it is strongly compact.

Definition 5. A space (\mathcal{S}, φ) is o -regular if for every ε -po-cover $\mathcal{M} = \{\mathcal{M}_{\alpha_i} : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of \mathcal{S} and for every $x \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha(x) \in \mathcal{M}$, there is an existence of an open set \wp , such that $x \in \wp_x \subseteq cl(\wp_x) \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha(x)$.

Theorem 6. If (\mathcal{S}, τ) is o -regular, then it is ε -po-compact if and only if it is *QHC*.

Proof. Let (\mathcal{S}, φ) be ε -po-compact. By Theorem 7, (\mathcal{S}, φ) is a compact space, so it is *QHC*-space.

Conversely, let $\mathcal{M} = \{\mathcal{M}_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a ε -po-cover of \mathcal{S} . Since \mathcal{S} is o -regular, for every $x \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha(x) \in \mathcal{M}$, there is an existence of an open set U such that $x \in \wp_x \subseteq cl(\wp_x) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_\alpha(x)$. Thus $\{\wp_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is an open cover of \mathcal{S} . Since \mathcal{S} is *QHC*, there is an existence of a finite family such that $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n cl(\wp_{x_i}) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}_{\alpha_i}$. Hence (X, φ) is ε -po-compact.

Corollary 3. If (\mathcal{S}, φ) is o -regular, then it is ε -po-compact if and only if it is s -closed (S -closed, compact, nearly compact).

Proof : Clearly s -closed (S -closed, compact, nearly compact) imply *QHC* and by Theorem 10, *QHC* imply ε -po-compact.

Conversely, if (\mathcal{S}, τ) is ε -po-compact, then by Theorem 7 it is compact and so it is nearly compact and by Theorem 12, it is s -closed. Thus it is S -closed.

Theorem 7. If (\mathcal{S}, τ) is o -regular, then it is *QHC* if and only if it is strongly ε -po-compact.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M} = \{\mathcal{M}_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a ε -po-cover of \mathcal{S} . Since \mathcal{S} is ε -regular, for each and every $x \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha(x) \in \mathcal{M}$ there is an existence of an open set \wp such that $x \in \wp_x \subseteq cl(\wp_x) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_\alpha(x)$. So $\{\wp_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is an open cover of \mathcal{S} . Since \mathcal{S} is QHC, $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n cl(\wp_x) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}_{\alpha_i}(x)$. Since $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}_{\alpha_i} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}_{\alpha_i}$ for every $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha_i} \subseteq \mathcal{S}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \mathcal{S}$ is strongly ε -po-compact. Conversely, let $\mathcal{M} = \{\mathcal{M}_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be an open cover of \mathcal{S} . Then \mathcal{M} is a ε -po-cover of \mathcal{S} and since \mathcal{S} is strongly ε -po-compact, $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n cl(\mathcal{M}_{\alpha_i}(x))$. Thus \mathcal{S} is QHC.

Separation axioms via ε -po-sets

In this section, several new separation axioms via ε -po-sets are introduced. Connections to other well-known ones are also discussed.

Definition 6. Let (\mathcal{S}, φ) be a topological space. Then

- (i) (\mathcal{S}, φ) is called a T_{p0} -space if for each pair of distinct points $x, y \in \mathcal{S}$, there is either a ε -po-set containing x but not y or a ε -po-set containing y but not x .
- (ii) (\mathcal{S}, φ) is called a T_{p1} -space if for each pair of distinct points $x, y \in \mathcal{S}$, there is a ε -po-set containing x but not y , and a ε -po-set containing y but not x .
- (iii) (\mathcal{S}, φ) is called a T_{p2} -space if for each pair of distinct points $x, y \in \mathcal{S}$, there exist ε -po-sets \wp and V such that $x \in \wp, y \in V$ and $\wp \cap V = \varphi$.
- (iv) (\mathcal{S}, φ) is called a weak regular space if for each closed subset $F \subset \mathcal{S}$ and each point x does not belong to F , there exist ε -po-sets \wp and V such that $x \in \wp, F \subset V$ and $\wp \cap V = \varphi$. A weak regular T_{p1} -Space is called T_{p3} -space.
- (v) (\mathcal{S}, φ) is called a weak normal space if for each pair of disjoint closed subsets F_1 and F_2 of \mathcal{S} there exist ε -po-sets \wp and V such that $F_1 \subset \wp, F_2 \subset V$ and $\wp \cap V = \varphi$. A weak normal T_{p1} -

Space is called a T_{p4} – space.

It is clear that the T_{p2} condition implies the T_{p1} condition, which in turn implies the T_{p0} condition. Since the notions of open set and ε –po set are independent, weak regularity and regularity are also independent notions.

Theorem 12. If each point $x \in \mathcal{S}$ is a ε –po set, then the space (\mathcal{S}, φ) is a T_{p1} – Space.

Proof. Let each point of \mathcal{S} be a ε –po subset of \mathcal{S} . If $|\mathcal{S}| = 1$, the result is clear. Thus let x and y be distinct points of \mathcal{S} . Then $\{y\}$ is a ε –po set, so $\mathcal{S} \setminus \{y\}$ is a ε –po set containing x but not y . It follows that (\mathcal{S}, φ) is a T_{p1} – Space.

References

- [1] Abo-Khadra, A., On Generalized Forms of Compactness, Masters Thesis, Tanta University (Egypt, 1989).
- [2] Al-Hawary, Talal, Generalized Preopen Sets, Questions Answers Gen. Topology 29 (1), pp. 73-80, (2011).
- [3] Andrijević, D., Semi—preopen sets, Mat. Vesnik. 38, pp. 24-32, (1986).
- [4] Dontchev, J. and Helsinki, J., Between A- and B sets, Acta Math. Hung. 69(1-2), pp. 111-122, (1998).
- [5] Dontchev, J., Ganster, M. and Noiri, T., On P-closed Spaces, Inter. J. Math. Math. Sci. 24 (3), pp. 203-212, (1998).
- [6] Foran, J. and Liebnitz, P., A Characterization of Almost Resolvable Spaces, Rand Circ. Mat. Palermo (2), pp. 136-141, (1991).
- [7] Ganster, M. and Reilly, I.L., A Decomposition of Continuity, Acta Math. Hungar, 56, no 3-4, pp. 299-301, (1990).
- [8] Jankovic, D., Reilly, I.L. and Vamanamurthy M., On Strongly Compact Topological Spaces, Acta Math. Hung., pp. 29-40, (1988).

- [9] Levine, N., Generalized Closed sets in Topology, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) 19, pp. 89-96, (1970).
- [10] Mashhour, A. S., Abd EL-Monsef, M. E. and ElDeep, S. N., On Pre-continuous and Weak Pre-continuous Mappings, Proc. Math. and Phys. Soc. Egypt, 53, pp. 47-53, (1982).
- [11] Mashhour, A. S., Abd EL-Monsef, M. E. and ElDeep, S. N., α -continuous and α -open Mappings, Acta Math. Hung., 3, pp. 213-218, (1982).
- [12] Mashhour, A. S., Abd EL-Monsef, M. E., Hasainen, I. A. and Noiri, T., Strongly Compact Spaces, Delta J. Sci, pp. 30-46, (1984).
- [13] Mathur, A. and Singal, M. K., On Nearly-Compact Spaces, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital., pp. 702-710, (1969).
- [14] Reilly, I. L. and Vamanamurthy, M., On α -continuity in Topological Spaces, J. Indian Acad. Math., 18, pp. 89-99, (1996).
- [15] Mashhour, A. S., Abd El-Monsef M. E. and El-Deeb S. N., On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings, Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt, 53 (1982), 47-53.
- [16] M.E. Abd El-Monsef, Studies on some pretopological concepts, Ph. D.Thesis, Fac. Sci. Tanta University, Egypt, (1980).
- [17] D. Andrijević, Semi-preopen sets, Mat. Vesnik, 38 (1986), 24-32